

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT**

LEARNING RESOURCES, INC., and  
HAND2MIND, INC.,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

v.

DONALD J. TRUMP, in his official capacity as  
President of the United States, et al.,

Defendants-Appellants.

No. 25-5202

**UNOPPOSED MOTION TO WITHDRAW STAY MOTION**

On Monday, the government filed in this Court a motion to stay pending appeal an injunction issued by the district court. The government had previously filed in the district court a motion seeking the same relief. Yesterday, the district court entered the attached order staying its injunction pending this appeal.

This development renders moot the government's motion in this Court for a stay pending appeal. The government therefore respectfully moves to withdraw that motion. Plaintiffs do not oppose that relief.

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL S. RAAB  
BRAD HINSHELWOOD

/s/ Daniel Winik

DANIEL WINIK

*Attorneys, Appellate Staff  
Civil Division, Room 7245  
U.S. Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW  
Washington, DC 20530  
(202) 305-8849*

## CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

This motion complies with the type-volume limit of Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 27(d)(2)(A) because it contains 219 words. This brief also complies with the typeface and type-style requirements of Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 32(a)(5)-(6) because it was prepared using Word for Microsoft 365 in 14-point Book Antiqua, a proportionally spaced typeface.

/s/ Daniel Winik

Daniel Winik

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

LEARNING RESOURCES, INC., <i>et al.</i> ,	:		
	:		
Plaintiffs,	:	Civil Action No.:	25-1248 (RC)
	:		
v.	:	Re Document No.:	41
	:		
DONALD J. TRUMP, <i>et al.</i> ,	:		
	:		
Defendants.	:		

**ORDER**

**GRANTING DEFENDANTS’ MOTION TO STAY**

Upon consideration of Defendants’ motion to stay (ECF No. 41) enforcement of the Court’s order entered May 29, 2025 preliminarily enjoining the United States from collecting tariffs from Plaintiffs pursuant to Executive Orders 14,195; 14,228; 14,257; 14,259; and 14,266 (ECF No. 35); it is hereby

**ORDERED** that Defendants’ motion is **GRANTED**. In issuing the preliminary injunction, the Court specifically referenced the related permanent injunction granted by the Court of International Trade. *See* Mem. Op. Denying Defs.’ Mot. Transfer Venue; Granting Pls.’ Mot. for Prelim. Inj. at 32–33, ECF No. 37. The Court acknowledged the national security and foreign policy concerns raised by Defendants but determined that those consequences would flow, if at all, from the CIT’s more sweeping order. *Id.* That order has now been stayed by the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. A stay in this action is therefore appropriate to protect the President’s ability to identify and respond to threats to the U.S. economy and national security; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that the effects of this Court’s order granting Plaintiffs’ motion for a preliminary injunction (ECF No. 35) and the accompanying memorandum opinion (ECF

No. 37) are hereby stayed pending disposition of the pending appeal before the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit.

**SO ORDERED.**

Dated: June 3, 2025

RUDOLPH CONTRERAS  
United States District Judge